11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MATTHEW C. MACLEAR (SBN 209228) ANTHONY M. BARNES (SBN 199048) AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP 490 43<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 108 Oakland, CA 94609

Telephone: (415) 568-5200 Email: mcm@atalawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.

Joshua G. Simon SBN 264714 CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation 610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Telephone: (949) 717-3000 Facsimile: (949) 717-3100

Attorney for Defendant FOUR SIGMA FOODS INC., individually and doing business as FOUR SIGMATIC

# FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

DEC 1 1 2018

By Deputy

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a non-profit California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

FOUR SIGMA FOODS INC., individually and doing business as FOUR SIGMATIC, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 – 25,

Defendants.

CASE NO. RG18920128

# STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Action Filed: September 11, 2018 Trial Date: None set

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On September 11, 2018, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, and Other Relief (the "Complaint")

Page 1 of 18

pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against FOUR SIGMA FOODS INC., individually and doing business as FOUR SIGMATIC ("FOUR SIGMATIC") and DOES 1-25. In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by FOUR SIGMATIC contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are:

- Four Sigmatic Mushroom Coffee with Lion's Mane & Chaga Fruity & Medium
- Four Sigmatic Chaga Mushroom Elixir
- Four Sigmatic Mushroom Matcha Drink Mix with Lion's Mane & Ginger
- Four Sigmatic Mushroom Mocha With Chaga Mushroom Sweet + Coffee
- Four Sigmatic Adaptogen Coffee With Tulsi & Astragalus Light + Cinnamon
- Four Sigmatic Mushroom Coffee With Cordyceps & Chaga Rich +
   Smooth
- Four Sigmatic Superfood Blends Hydration Blend Moisturize Your Insides
- 1.2 ERC and FOUR SIGMATIC are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that FOUR SIGMATIC is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and

qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. FOUR SIGMATIC distributes and/or sells the Covered Products.

- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated July 2, 2018 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and FOUR SIGMATIC ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated July 2, 2018 is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and is incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and FOUR SIGMATIC and no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against FOUR SIGMATIC with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. FOUR SIGMATIC denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be consequed as an admission by FOUR SIGMATIC of any fact, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by FOUR SIGMATIC of any fact, issue of law, at any time, for any purpose.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.

  Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates under common ownership (full or partial), franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have individually or jointly in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

#### 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over FOUR SIGMATIC as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

- 3.1 Beginning three (3) months after the Effective Date, FOUR SIGMATIC shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which expose a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that FOUR SIGMATIC knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

### 3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If FOUR SIGMATIC is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized ("Warning"):

**WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

FOUR SIGMATIC shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if FOUR SIGMATIC has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if FOUR SIGMATIC has reason to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer warning.

The Warning shall appear on the container or label of each Covered Product. If the Warning is provided on the label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet, the Warning, or a link to the Warning using the word "WARNING" in capital and bold letters, shall appear on the product information/display page, or the Warning shall be prominently displayed on the checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product. An asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning. The Warning may be used in conjunction with a more general warning in the checkout process indicating that item(s) in the customer's order are subject to a California Proposition 65 warning. Additionally, for Covered Products sold over the internet, the Warning shall not be displayed in such a manner that requires the purchaser to search for it in the general content of the website.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of the Covered Product's packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of, or reducing the clarity of, the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning. Further, no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply that the source of the listed chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

FOUR SIGMATIC must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label or container, or on its website, if

applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

If subsequently enacted changes to Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations require the use of additional or different information on any warning, the Parties agree that the new safe harbor warning may be utilized in place of the Warnings set forth in this Section.

#### 3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is a Covered Product for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

#### 3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

- 3.4.1 Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, FOUR SIGMATIC shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three (3) consecutive years by arranging for testing of five (5) randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which FOUR SIGMATIC intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three (3) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, FOUR SIGMATIC changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, FOUR SIGMATIC shall test that Covered Product annually for at least three (3) consecutive years after such change is made.
- **3.4.2** For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the highest lead detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that

meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg.

- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- 3.4.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit FOUR SIGMATIC's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.6 Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, FOUR SIGMATIC shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. FOUR SIGMATIC shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of three years from the date of each test.

#### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees, and costs, FOUR SIGMATIC shall make, or have made on its behalf, a total payment of \$125,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC in three periodic payments (the "Periodic Payments") according to the following payment schedule ("Due Dates"):
  - Payment 1 -- \$41,666.67 within 5 days of the Effective Date
  - Payment 2 -- \$41,666.67 within 35 days of the Effective Date
  - Payment 3 -- \$41,666.66 within 65 days of the Effective Date
- FOUR SIGMATIC shall make, or have made on its behalf, these payments by wire transfer to ERC's account, for which ERC will give FOUR SIGMATIC the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$52,186.81 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$39,140.10) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety

Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$13,046.71) of the civil penalty.

- 4.3 \$3,058.38 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$39,140.05 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by FOUR SIGMATIC in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a self-testing program for lead in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up

to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- 4.5 \$14,970.00 shall be distributed to Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$15,644.76 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
- 4.6 In the event that FOUR SIGMATIC fails to remit any of the Periodic Payments owed pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Consent Judgment on or before the applicable Due Date, FOUR SIGMATIC shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to FOUR SIGMATIC via electronic mail. If FOUR SIGMATIC fails to deliver the delinquent payment within five (5) business days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount, less any amounts previously paid pursuant to Section 4.1, shall be immediately due and owing and shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, FOUR SIGMATIC agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect any payment(s) due under this Consent Judgment.

## 

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- then FOUR SIGMATIC must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to FOUR SIGMATIC within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies FOUR SIGMATIC in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to FOUR SIGMATIC a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- 5.3 In the event that FOUR SIGMATIC initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, FOUR SIGMATIC shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application. ERC shall not be reimbursed for costs or attorney's fees for an uncontested motion, or for a ministerial motion (such as a change in name or contact information) or if ERC does not expend more than two (2) hours of attorney time on the joint motion. Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own.

5.4 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, and as a result of such repeal the Covered Products are no longer subject to Proposition 65, then FOUR SIGMATIC shall have no further obligation as to injunctive terms pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to the Covered Products.

# 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform FOUR SIGMATIC in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit FOUR SIGMATIC to identify the Covered Products at issue. FOUR SIGMATIC shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating FOUR SIGMATIC's compliance with the Consent Judgment. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

#### 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates under common ownership (full or partial), divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by California consumers.

#### 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and FOUR SIGMATIC and its respective officers,

directors, shareholders, owners, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliated entities under common (full or partial) ownership, attorneys, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of FOUR SIGMATIC), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including the Effective Date.

- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, and FOUR SIGMATIC on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, owners, employees, agents, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliated entities under common (full or partial) ownership, and attorneys for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- -8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and FOUR SIGMATIC on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and FOUR SIGMATIC acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

1	ANTHONY M. BARNES						
2	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP 490 43 <sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 108						
3	Oakland, CA 94609 Telephone: (415) 568-5200						
4	Email: amb@atalawgroup.com						
5	FOUR SIGMA FOODS INC., individually and doing business as FOUR SIGMATIC						
6	TERO ISOKAUPPILA						
7	FOUR SIGMA FOODS, INC. 1450 2nd St, Unit 1020,						
8	Santa Monica, CA 90401						
9	With a copy to:						
10	ABHISHEK K. GURNANI						
11	AMIN TALATI UPADHYE, LLP 100 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2000						
12	Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: 312-466-1033						
13	Email: www.amintalati.com						
14	12. COURT APPROVAL						
15	12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a						
16	Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this						
17	Consent Judgment.						
18	12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,						
19	the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible						
20	prior to the hearing on the motion.						
-21	12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be						
22	void and have no force or effect.						
23	13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS						
24	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be						
25	deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid						
26	as the original signature.						
27	14. DRAFTING						
28	The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each						

Page 14 of 18
STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG18920128

Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

#### 15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

#### 16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

#### 17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

1	D. J. S. J. J. 2010	FOUR GIGHT BOODS DIS 1 W 11 W					
2	Dated: September 24, 2018	FOUR SIGMA FOODS INC., individually and doing business as FOUR SIGMATIC					
3		John Deorge					
4		By: John W. George Its: COO					
5		its: COO					
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM:						
12	THE TAXO YED AND TO PORM.						
13	September 24 Dated: , 2018	ACIA TERRA APRICA ANA CROSSE					
14	Dated:, 2018	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP					
15		By:					
16		Matthew C. Maclear					
17		Anthony M. Barnes Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental					
18		Research Center, Inc.					
19							
20	Dated: September 24 , 2018						
21	Dated: September 24 , 2018	CALL & JENSEN					
22		By: Shua 2					
23		Joshua G. Simon Attorney for Defendant Four Sigma Foods					
24		Inc., individually and doing business as					
25		Four Sigmatic					
26							
27	ORDER AND						
28	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is						
	Page	17 of 18					

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG18920128

approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.  IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.  Dated:										
Dated:	1	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.								
Dated:	2	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.								
Judge of the Superior Court  Superior Court  Judge of the Superior Court  Judge of the Superior Court  Judge of the Superior Court	3	1		101						
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	4	Dated: 1211, 2018	<del>.</del>							
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	5		Ju	dge of the Supe	erior Court					
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	6									
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	7									
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	8									
11	9									
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	10									
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	11									
14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24         25         26         27	12									
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	13									
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	14									
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	15			*						
18         19         20         21         22         23         24         25         26         27	16									
19   20   21   22   23   24   25   26   27	17	a								
20         21         22         23         24         25         26         27	18									
21       22       23       24       25       26       27										
<ul> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	- 11									
<ul> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	- 11	=								
<ul> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>										
25 26 27	- 11									
26   27   · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
27	- [[	a .								
28										
	28									
Page 18 of 18  STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG189										

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Case Number: RG18920128

Case name: Environmental Research Center, Inc. v. Four Sigma Foods, Inc.

#### CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true and correct copy of this Order was emailed to the addresses shown on at the bottom of this document.

Dated: December 14, 2018

*Jhalisa A. Castaneda*Courtroom Clerk, Dept. 23

Matthew C. Maclear Anthony M. Barnes Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group 490 43<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 108 Oakland, CA 94609

Matthew C. Maclear mcm@atalawgroup.com
Anthony M. Barnes amb@atalawgroup.com

Joshua G. Simon Call & Jensen A Professional Corporation 610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Joshua Simon jsimon@calljensen.com